



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2025 Biennium

Bill information:	
HB0087 - Standardize licensing board organization (Mercer, Bill)	
Status:	As Amended in House Committee

- Significant Local Gov Impact
 Needs to be included in HB 2
 Technical Concerns
 Included in the Executive Budget
 Significant Long-Term Impacts
 Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2024 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2026 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2027 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Description of fiscal impact: HB 87 generally revises laws related to licensing boards providing for standardized board organization and compensation. The fiscal impact of this legislation to the Department of Labor & Industry is an increase in compensation to board members while on official business from \$50 per day to \$100 per day.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- The Department of Labor & Industry's Employment Standards Division (DLI) has multiple boards with 214 board members who attend board meetings. While each board is required to meet annually, many of the boards meet several times per year. DLI assumes each board will meet two times per year and estimates the changes in HB 87 will be an increase in costs of \$21,400 to the agency (214 board members x 2 meetings per year x \$50).

	<u>FY 2024</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2026</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2027</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u>Expenditures:</u>				
Personal Services	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400
Operating Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Expenditures	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400	\$21,400
<u>Revenues:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$21,400)	(\$21,400)	(\$21,400)	(\$21,400)

Technical Notes:

- Section 1(4)(a)(iii) states that a board member may not “own the same business group.” It is unclear the specific meaning of this—whether it is intended to prohibit board members from being shareholders in a corporation, partners in a partnership, or something else. It is presumed the intent is to state that board members may not “be members of the same business group.” Presuming this interpretation to be correct, Section 36(2)(b) is redundant.
- Section 2(5) is redundant. Mont. Code Ann. 2-15-121 is “allocation for administrative purposes only.” By specifying that boards are attached pursuant to that statute for administrative purposes only, the statute is stating twice what is already specified in each board’s statute.

Sponsor’s Initials

4/4/23

Date

Budget Director’s Initials

4-3-23

Date